

DEFORMATION OF SASAKIAN METRICS

HIRAKU NOZAWA

ABSTRACT. By Kodaira's stability theorem [24], a Kähler metric on a closed complex manifold is stable in the meaning of Kodaira and Spencer, that is, always extends to a smooth family of compatible Kähler metrics for a smooth family of any small deformation of complex structures. In this article, we investigate this stability of Sasakian metrics in deformation theory. We present an example of a Sasakian metric on a circle bundle over a complex torus which is not stable in a smooth family of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows. We show that the triviality of the $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class characterizes the stability of Sasakian metrics in smooth families of small deformation of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows. We also prove a Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano type vanishing theorem for basic Dolbeault cohomology of homologically orientable transversely Kähler foliations. Combining with the characterization of the stability, we show that a positive Sasakian metric is always stable.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Definitions	4
3. Basic Euler classes of transversely holomorphic isometric flows	8
4. Existence of extensions of Sasakian metrics	10
5. Stability of K-contact structures	14
6. Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem for transversely Kähler foliations	14
7. Moduli space of Sasakian metrics with a fixed underlying transverse Kähler flow	20
8. Examples	24
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Characterization of the stability of Sasakian metrics. Kodaira's stability theorem [24] of Kähler metrics on compact complex manifolds is one of fundamental tools in deformation theory of complex structures. A Sasakian metric is a geometric structure on an odd dimensional manifold M whose standard extension to the cone $M \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ gives rise to a Kähler metric (see Definition 2.1). We present an example of a Sasakian manifold to show that Sasakian metrics may not have stability in a smooth family of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows (see Section 8.2). In our examples of nonstable Sasakian metrics, it is easy to see that the nontriviality of the $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class of flows gives an

obstruction for stability of the Sasakian metrics. Our main result shows that this obstruction is the unique obstruction. Precisely, a Sasakian metric is stable in a smooth family of small deformation of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows, if the basic Euler class is of class $(1, 1)$. Our reference on Sasakian manifolds is Boyer and Galicki [5] (see Section 8.2 for deformation theory of Sasakian metrics).

A 1-dimensional foliation is called a flow in this article in accordance with references. Our main result is stated as follows: Let T be an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ and $\{(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)\}_{t \in T}$ be a smooth family of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows on a closed manifold M . Assume that $(\mathcal{F}^0, I^0)$ has a compatible Sasakian metric $\tilde{g}$.

Theorem 1.1. *If the basic Euler class of $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$ is of degree $(1, 1)$ for every t in an open neighborhood U of 0 in T , then there exists an open neighborhood V of 0 in T and a smooth family of Riemannian metrics $\{\tilde{g}^t\}_{t \in V}$ on M such that $\tilde{g}^t$ is a Sasakian metric compatible to $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$ for every t in U and $\tilde{g}^0 = \tilde{g}$.*

For the existence of a smooth family of compatible Sasakian metrics, it is necessary that the basic Euler class of $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$ is of class $(1, 1)$ (see Lemma 3.5).

The difficulty to prove Theorem 1.1 comes from the noncontinuous change of basic differential complexes of foliations. We cannot translate directly our problem to a smooth family of partial differential equations to solve and cannot apply the Hodge-de Rham-Kodaira theory to the families of Laplacians on the basic de Rham complexes to prove Theorem 1.1. To avoid this difficulty, we will apply results on deformation of Riemannian foliations in Nozawa [28] and [29]. This allows us to change problems on families of basic de Rham complexes to problems on families the de Rham complexes which is much easier.

1.2. Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem for transversely Kähler foliations. We show

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be the underlying transversely Kähler flow of a positive Sasakian manifold. Then we have*

$$(1) \quad H_b^{0,q}(M/\mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for $q > 0$ and

$$(2) \quad H_b^{p,0}(M/\mathcal{F}) = 0$$

for $p > 0$.

This is a generalization of the part of Proposition 2.4 of Boyer, Galicki and Nakamaye [6]. They deduced Theorem 1.2 from Kodaira-Baily vanishing theorem for complex orbifold in Baily [2] for the case where Sasakian manifolds are quasi-regular, that is, every orbit of the flow generated by the Reeb vector field is closed.

Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the following version of Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano vanishing theorem for basic Dolbeault cohomology: Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a closed manifold with a homologically orientable transversely Kähler foliation of complex codimension n . Let E be an $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over $(M, \mathcal{F})$ (see Definitions 3.2 and 6.6).

Theorem 1.3. *If E is positive, then*

$$(3) \quad H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) = 0$$

for $p + q > n$.

This is a generalization of Kodaira-Baily vanishing theorem [2] for the case where every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is compact. Note that $H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$ may not be isomorphic to $H^p(M/\mathcal{F}, \Omega_b^p \otimes E)$ where Ω_b^p is the sheaf of basic holomorphic p -forms on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ as we will remark in the paragraph after Definition 6.10. Because of this difficulty, the classical argument to show Theorem 1.2 for Fano manifolds does not work for basic cohomology of transversely Kähler foliations as pointed out by Boyer, Galicki and Nakamaye before Proposition 2.4 of [6]. We show that a simple observation, Lemma 6.11, can be used to avoid this difficulty.

We will show that analogs of Grauert-Riemenschneider's vanishing theorem [18] and Girbau's vanishing theorem [15] for basic cohomology of homologically orientable transversely Kähler foliations can be proved following the argument of Demailly [9] (see Theorem 6.9).

The basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations has certain aspects similar to those of the de Rham cohomology of manifolds. But some cohomology vanishing theorems fail to hold for basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations at least directly (see Min-Oo, Ruh and Tondeur [26]). One of the reason is that the formal adjoint operator of the differential d on the basic de Rham complex is not given by the conjugation by the basic Hodge star operator (see Proposition 3.6 of Kamber and Tondeur [23] for the difference). But, for homologically orientable Riemannian foliations, El Kacimi and Hector [13] and El Kacimi [12] provided a method to overcome this difficulty based on Molino's structure theory. We show that their method can be applied to show Theorem 1.3.

1.3. Stability of positive Sasakian metrics. We obtain the following corollary: Let T be an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ and $\{(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)\}_{t \in T}$ be a smooth family of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows on a closed manifold M . Assume that $(\mathcal{F}^0, I^0)$ has a compatible Sasakian metric $\tilde{g}$. Assume that the Sasakian metric $\tilde{g}$ is positive.

Corollary 1.4. *Then there exists an open neighborhood V of 0 in T and a smooth family of Riemannian metrics $\{\tilde{g}^t\}_{t \in V}$ on M such that $\tilde{g}^t$ is a Sasakian metric compatible to $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$ for every t in V and $\tilde{g}^0 = \tilde{g}$.*

Corollary 1.4 is a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Indeed, since the positivity of the anticanonical line bundles of transversely holomorphic foliations is preserved under small deformation, the assumption of the positivity of $\tilde{g}$ implies that $H_b^{0,2}(M/\mathcal{F}^t) = 0$ for t in an open neighborhood of 0 in T by Theorem 1.2. Hence the basic Euler class of $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$ is of degree $(1, 1)$. Thus Theorem 1.1 implies the existence of a family of Sasakian metrics compatible to $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$.

1.4. Stability of K -contact structures in families of Riemannian flows. Recall that a contact form η on a smooth manifold M is K -contact if there exists a metric on M preserved by the flow generated by the Reeb vector field of η . We state a K -contact variant of Theorem 1.1: Let M be a closed manifold. Let (g, η) be a K -contact structure on M . Let T be an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^\ell$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in T}$ be a smooth family of Riemannian flows on M such that $\mathcal{F}^0$ is the flow defined by the Reeb vector field of η .

Theorem 1.5. *There exists an open neighborhood V of 0 in T and a smooth family $\{\eta^t\}_{t \in V}$ of K -contact structures on M such that $\mathcal{F}^t$ is induced by the orbits of the Reeb vector field of η^t for every t in V and $\eta^0 = \eta$.*

1.5. Moduli space of Sasakian metrics with a fixed transversely Kähler flow. At last, we show a result which describes the difference of moduli spaces of Sasakian metrics and their underlying transversely Kähler flows. Let M be a closed manifold. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of Sasakian metrics on M . Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the isomorphism classes of transversely Kähler flows on M . There exists a natural map $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ which corresponds each Sasakian metric to the underlying transversely Kähler flow. We take a point $\mathfrak{k}_0$ on $\mathcal{K}$. Let $\text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ be the identity component of the group of diffeomorphisms of M which preserves the transversely Kähler flow $\mathfrak{k}_0$. We define

$$\text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0) = \{f \in \text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0) \mid [\eta - f^*\eta] \text{ is an exact 1-form} \}.$$

Then we have

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a homeomorphism*

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}_0) / \text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0) \rightarrow H^1(M; \mathbb{R}).$$

Note that $\text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0) / \text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ is an abelian group (see the second paragraph of Section 7). Thus $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}_0) / \text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ is a quotient of a vector space by an abelian group. As a corollary, we have the following

Corollary 1.7. *Let M be a closed manifold whose first Betti number is zero. If the underlying transversely Kähler flows of two Sasakian metrics (g_1, α_1) and (g_2, α_2) are isomorphic, then (M, g_1, α_1) and (M, g_2, α_2) are isomorphic.*

Acknowledgement. The author expresses deep gratitude to Jesús Antonio Álvarez López to point out a mistake in Proposition 3.6 of the previous version of this manuscript. It was in the discussion with Jesús Antonio Álvarez López during the author stayed in the University of Santiago de Compostela in the spring of 2009. The author would like to express his deep gratitude to Jesús Antonio Álvarez López also for his invitation, great hospitality and valuable discussion.

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1. Sasakian metrics. Let M be an odd dimensional smooth manifold. We recall the definition of Sasakian metrics:

Definition 2.1 (Sasakian metrics). *A pair of a contact form η and a Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$ on M is a Sasakian metric on M if the Riemannian metric $r^2\tilde{g} + dr \otimes dr$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a Kähler metric with the Kähler form $d(r^2\eta)$ where r is the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.*

Basic examples of Sasakian manifolds are circle bundles associated to positive holomorphic line bundles over Kähler manifolds, links of isolated singularities of complex hypersurfaces defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials and contact toric manifolds of Reeb type (see Boyer and Galicki [4] and Blair [3]).

2.2. Foliations with transverse structures. A Sasakian manifold (M, η, g) has a 1-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$ defined by the orbits of the flow generated by the Reeb vector field of η . In this section, we recall the definition of certain transverse structures of $\mathcal{F}$ which come from the Sasakian metric.

We denote the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ by $T\mathcal{F}$. By the integrability of $\mathcal{F}$, the Lie bracket on $C^\infty(TM)$ induces the Lie derivative with respect to vector fields tangent

to the leaves

(5)

$$C^\infty(T\mathcal{F}) \otimes C^\infty \left(\bigotimes^r (TM/T\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bigotimes^s (TM/T\mathcal{F})^* \right) \longrightarrow C^\infty \left(\bigotimes^r (TM/T\mathcal{F}) \otimes \bigotimes^s (TM/T\mathcal{F})^* \right)$$

for every nonnegative integer r and s .

Definition 2.2 (Basic vector fields). *A local section X of TM is basic with respect to $T\mathcal{F}$ if $[Y, X]$ is a local section of $T\mathcal{F}$ for every local section Y of $T\mathcal{F}$. A local section X of $TM/T\mathcal{F}$ is transverse with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ if $[Y, X] = 0$ in $TM/T\mathcal{F}$ for every local section Y of $T\mathcal{F}$.*

Definition 2.3 (Foliations with transverse structures). (i) *A pair of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of M and an element I of $\text{Aut}(TM/T\mathcal{F})$ is called a transversely holomorphic foliation on M if*

- (a) $I^2 = -\text{id}$,
 - (b) $\mathcal{L}_Z I = 0$ for every Z in $C^\infty(T\mathcal{F})$ and
 - (c) the Nijenhuis tensor $[I, I](X, Y) = [IX, IY] - I[IX, Y] - I[X, IY] - [X, Y]$ of I vanishes on any local transverse sections X and Y of $TM/T\mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) *A pair of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of M and an element g of $C^\infty((TM/T\mathcal{F})^* \otimes (TM/T\mathcal{F})^*)$ is called a Riemannian foliation on M if*
- (a) g is symmetric, positive definite and
 - (b) $\mathcal{L}_Z g = 0$ for every Z in $C^\infty(T\mathcal{F})$
- (iii) *A triple of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of M , an element I of $\text{Aut}(TM/T\mathcal{F})$ and an element g of $C^\infty((TM/T\mathcal{F})^* \otimes (TM/T\mathcal{F})^*)$ is called a transversely Kähler foliation on M if*
- (a) $(\mathcal{F}, g)$ is a Riemannian foliation,
 - (b) $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ is a transversely holomorphic foliation and
 - (c) the tensor field ω defined by $\omega(X, Y) = g(X, IY)$ is antisymmetric and closed when regarded as a 2-form on M by the injection $\wedge^2(TM/T\mathcal{F})^* \longrightarrow \wedge^2 T^*M$.

For a transversely holomorphic foliation $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ on M , we call I the complex structure of $(\mathcal{F}, I)$. For a Riemannian foliation $(\mathcal{F}, g)$, we call g the transverse metric of $(\mathcal{F}, g)$. For a transversely Kähler foliation $(\mathcal{F}, I, g)$, we call the above 2-form ω the transverse Kähler form of $(\mathcal{F}, I, g)$.

We will regard the transverse Kähler form ω of a transversely Kähler flow as a 2-form on M by the injection $\wedge^2(TM/T\mathcal{F})^* \longrightarrow \wedge^2 T^*M$ throughout this article.

We recall the definition of the isometricity of a Riemannian flow $(\mathcal{F}, g)$ on M .

Definition 2.4 (Isometricity of Riemannian flows). *A Riemannian flow $(\mathcal{F}, g)$ is isometric if there exists a pair of a Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$ on M and a nowhere vanishing vector field ξ tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ such that the flow generated by ξ preserves $\tilde{g}$. We call $(\tilde{g}, \xi)$ a Killing pair on $\mathcal{F}$. A metric $\tilde{g}$ on M is called a Killing metric on $(M, \mathcal{F})$, if there exists a vector field ξ such that $(\tilde{g}, \xi)$ is a Killing pair on $\mathcal{F}$.*

We recall also

Definition 2.5 (Geometrically tautness). *A foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is geometrically taut if there exists a Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$ on M such that every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is a minimal submanifold of $(M, \tilde{g})$. Such metric $\tilde{g}$ is called a minimal metric on $(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

By the following lemma due to Carrière in [8], the isometricity is equivalent to the geometrically tautness for oriented Riemannian flows:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a closed manifold with an oriented Riemannian flow. Then a minimal metric $\tilde{g}$ is Killing if and only if $\tilde{g}$ is bundle-like.*

Recall that a metric g on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is bundle-like if the metric induced on $TM/T\mathcal{F}$ from $(T\mathcal{F})^\perp$ is a transverse metric. By a theorem of Molino and Sergiescu [27] or a theorem of Masa [25], the isometricity of Riemannian flows is equivalent to the following cohomological property:

Definition 2.7 (Homological orientability). *A Riemannian foliation of codimension n is homologically orientable if the basic cohomology group $H_b^n(M/\mathcal{F})$ of degree n is nontrivial where*

This terminology is due to El Kacimi [12]. We refer El Kacimi and Hector [13] for the basic cohomology of foliated manifolds.

By the last sentence of Section 6.4 of Blair [3] or Proposition 6.5.14 of Boyer and Galicki [5],

Lemma 2.8. *A pair of an integrable CR-structure (H, J) and a K-contact form η such that $H = \ker \eta$ determines a Sasakian metric on M .*

From this Lemma 2.8, we obtain the following:

Lemma 2.9. *The underlying Riemannian flows defined by the orbits of the Reeb vector fields of Sasakian manifolds are isometric and transversely Kähler.*

Indeed, let $(M, \tilde{g}, \eta)$ be a Sasakian manifold determined by a pair of an integrable CR-structure (H, J) and a K-contact form η such that $H = \ker \eta$. We write ξ for the Reeb vector field of η . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the flow generated by ξ . Here $(\tilde{g}, \xi)$ is a Killing pair on $\mathcal{F}$, because ξ is the Reeb vector field of η which is K-contact by Lemma 2.8. Futaki, Ono and Wang proved that $\mathcal{F}$ has a transversely Kähler structure in Section 3 of [14].

We have the following characterization of Sasakian metrics in terms of flows with transverse structures:

Lemma 2.10. *A pair of a transversely Kähler flow $(\mathcal{F}, I, g)$ and a contact form η determines a Sasakian structure on M if $d\eta = \omega$ where ω is the transverse Kähler form of $(\mathcal{F}, I, g)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, it suffices to show that a pair of a transversely Kähler flow $(\mathcal{F}, I, g)$ and a contact form η determines a pair of a CR-structure and a contact form in Lemma 2.8. We put $H = \ker \eta$.

We show that η is K-contact. Let ξ be the Reeb vector field of η . Then, η is tangent to $\ker \omega = T\mathcal{F}$. Since the flow generated by ξ preserves the transverse metric of $\mathcal{F}$ and a orthogonal plane field H , the flow generated by ξ preserves a Riemannian metric on M . Hence η is K-contact.

We denote the restriction of the canonical projection $TM \rightarrow TM/T\mathcal{F}$ to H by π . Putting $J(X) = \pi^{-1} \circ I \circ \pi$, we have a CR-structure (H, J) on M . We will show that (H, J) is integrable. For local sections X and Y of H , we have

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{2}\eta([X, Y] - [JX, JY]) = d\eta(X, Y) - d\eta(JX, JY)$$

by $H = \ker \eta$. The right hand side is trivial, because $d\eta$ is a transverse Kähler form which is J -invariant. It follows that $[JX, JY] - [X, Y]$ is a local section of H for any local sections X and Y . This proves the first half of the integrability condition. We will show that the Nijenhuis tensor

$$(7) \quad N(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - J([JX, Y] + [X, JY]) - [X, Y]$$

vanishes for any local sections X and Y of H . Fix a point x on M . Take two vectors X_0 and Y_0 in H_x . We have an open neighborhood W_x such that $(W_x, \mathcal{F}|_{W_x}, I|_{W_x})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n, \mathcal{F}_{\text{std}}, I_{\text{std}})$ as transversely holomorphic foliations by a result of Gómez Mont [17]. Here $(\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n, \mathcal{F}_{\text{std}}, I_{\text{std}})$ is the standard transversely holomorphic foliation defined by a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n = \sqcup_{z \in B^n} \mathbb{R}^m \times \{z\}$. We can assume that x is identified with $\{0\} \times \{0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n$. We take a transversal S of $\mathcal{F}$ in W_x so that S is identified with $\{0\} \times B^n$. We denote the projection $W_x \rightarrow S$ along the leaves by ϕ . We consider vectors $\phi_* X_0$ and $\phi_* Y_0$ in $T_0 B^n$. We can take linear vector fields X_{B^n} and Y_{B^n} on B^n so that $(X_{B^n})_0 = \phi_* X_0$ and $(Y_{B^n})_0 = \phi_* Y_0$. Since X_{B^n} and Y_{B^n} are linear, their flow preserves the complex structure I on B^n . Thus we have

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{L}_{X_{B^n}} I = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{Y_{B^n}} I = 0$$

Moreover we have

$$(9) \quad [X_{B^n}, Y_{B^n}] = 0.$$

We take basic sections X and Y of $H|_{W_x}$ so that $X_{B^n} = \phi_* X$ and $Y_{B^n} = \phi_* Y$. We have $X_x = X_0$ and $Y_x = Y_0$. By (9), $[X, Y]$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. By (8) and (9), we have

$$(10) \quad \phi_* [X, JY] = [X_{B^n}, IY_{B^n}] = (\mathcal{L}_{X_{B^n}} I)Y_{B^n} + I[X_{B^n}, Y_{B^n}] = 0.$$

Thus $[X, JY]$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Similarly, $[X, JY]$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Thus we have

$$(11) \quad J([JX, Y] + [X, JY]) = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$(12) \quad N(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - [X, Y].$$

It follows that $N(X, Y)$ is a local section of H . On the other hand, by the integrability of I , we have

$$(13) \quad \phi_* N(X, Y) = [IX_{B^n}, IY_{B^n}] - I([IX_{B^n}, Y_{B^n}] + [X_{B^n}, IY_{B^n}]) - [X_{B^n}, Y_{B^n}] = 0.$$

Thus $N(X, Y)$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Hence we have $N(X, Y) = 0$. $\square$

2.3. Families of flows with transverse structures. Let U be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^\ell$.

Definition 2.11. (i) A smooth family of flows on M over U is a flow $\mathcal{F}^{\text{amb}}$ on a smooth manifold $M \times U$ such that $M \times \{t\}$ is saturated by the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{amb}}$. We denote the restriction of $\mathcal{F}^{\text{amb}}$ to $M \times \{t\}$ by $\mathcal{F}^t$. We will denote such family of flows on M by $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in U}$.
(ii) For a smooth family $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in U}$ of flows on M , the kernel of the differential map of the second projection $\text{pr}_2: T(M \times U)/T\mathcal{F}^{\text{amb}} \rightarrow TU$ is called the family of normal bundles of $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in U}$.

- (iii) A smooth family of Riemannian flows on M is a pair of a smooth family of flows $\mathcal{F}^t$ and a smooth metric g^{amb} on the family of normal bundles of $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in U}$ such that $(\mathcal{F}^t, g^{\text{amb}}|_{M \times \{t\}})$ is a Riemannian flow on M for each t in U .
- (iv) A smooth family of transversely holomorphic flows on M is a pair of a smooth family of flows $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in U}$ and a complex structure I^{amb} on the family of normal bundles of $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in U}$ such that $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^{\text{amb}}|_{M \times \{t\}})$ is a transversely holomorphic flow on M for each t in U .

A smooth family of transversely Kähler flows on M is similarly defined.

3. BASIC EULER CLASSES OF TRANSVERSELY HOLOMORPHIC ISOMETRIC FLOWS

3.1. Basic Euler classes of isometric flows. Let $(\mathcal{F}, g)$ be an isometric Riemannian flow on a closed smooth manifold M . We recall the definition of the basic Euler classes of $(M, \mathcal{F})$. We denote the space of basic k -forms on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ by $\Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F})$. We denote the basic cohomology of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ by $H_b^\bullet(M/\mathcal{F})$. We refer El Kacimi and Hector [13] for basic forms and basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations.

Definition 3.1 (The basic Euler class of isometric Riemannian flows, Saralegui [32]). *Let $(\tilde{g}, \xi)$ be a Killing pair on $\mathcal{F}$. We define a 1-form η on M by $\eta(Y) = \tilde{g}(\xi, Y)$. Then $d\eta$ is a basic 2-form on $(M, \mathcal{F})$. The basic Euler class of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by $\mathbb{R}^\times[d\eta]$ in $H_b^2(M/\mathcal{F})$ up to multiplication of nonzero real numbers.*

Saralegui [32] proved that the basic Euler class of $\mathcal{F}$ depends only on the smooth type of the flow $\mathcal{F}$ (see Royo Prieto [30] for basic Euler classes extended to general Riemannian flows).

If $\mathcal{F}$ is an isometric flow defined by fibers of a circle bundle, the basic cohomology of $\mathcal{F}$ coincides with the de Rham cohomology of the base manifold. In this case, the basic Euler class of $\mathcal{F}$ coincides with the Euler class of the circle bundle up to multiplication of real numbers.

3.2. $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian vector bundles and basic Dolbeault cohomology. Let M be a smooth $(2n+1)$ -manifold. We recall the notion of $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian vector bundles on foliated manifolds $(M, \mathcal{F})$. In this paragraph, we use the complex number field as the coefficient ring of differential forms.

Let $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ be a transversely holomorphic foliation of real dimension m and complex codimension n on a closed manifold M . Let B^n be the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $(\mathcal{F}_{\text{std}}, I_{\text{std}})$ be the standard transversely holomorphic foliation on $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n$ defined by a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n = \sqcup_{z \in B^n} \mathbb{R}^m \times \{z\}$. At each point x on M , we have an open neighborhood W_x such that $(W_x, \mathcal{F}|_{W_x}, I|_{W_x})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n, \mathcal{F}_{\text{std}}, I_{\text{std}})$ as transversely holomorphic foliations (see Gómez Mont [17]). We take finite points $\{x_j\}$ so that $M = \cup_j W_{x_j}$. We denote the composite

$$(14) \quad W_{x_j} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times B^n \longrightarrow B^n$$

by ϕ_j where the second map is the second projection.

Definition 3.2 (Basic (p, q) -forms and $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered vector bundle). (i) *A differential form α on M is a basic (p, q) -form on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ if there exists a (p, q) -form β_j on B^n such that $\alpha|_{W_{x_j}} = \phi_j^* \beta_j$ for every j .*

- (ii) A Hermitian vector bundle (E, h_E) on M is $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered if the restriction of (E, h_E) to W_{x_j} is a pull back of a Hermitian vector bundle (E_{B^n}, h_{B^n}) on B^n for every j . An $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered vector bundle is holomorphic if the transition functions are pull back of holomorphic functions on W_{x_j} .

These notion are independent of the foliated atlas $\{W_{x_j}\}$. Basic (p, q) -forms with values in E are similarly defined. This $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian vector bundle is $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered in the meaning of El Kacimi [12]. We denote the space of basic (p, q) -forms on $(M, \mathcal{F}, I)$ with values in E by $\Omega_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$.

Let (E, h_E) be an $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian holomorphic bundle on $(M, \mathcal{F})$. A differential operator $\bar{\partial}_E: \Omega_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \rightarrow \Omega_b^{p,q+1}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$ is defined by the formula

$$(15) \quad (\bar{\partial}_E \alpha)|_{W_{x_j}} = \phi_j^* \left(\bar{\partial}_{E_{B^n}} \left(\sum_i \beta_i \otimes s_i \right) \right) = \phi_j^* \left(\sum_i (\bar{\partial}_{B^n} \beta_i) \otimes s_i \right)$$

for α in $\Omega_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$ where s_i is a local holomorphic section of E_{B^n} , and α is written as $\beta|_{W_{x_j}} = \phi_j^* (\sum_i \beta_i \otimes s_i)$. Then $(\Omega_b^{p,\bullet}(M/\mathcal{F}, E), \bar{\partial}_E)$ is a differential complex. We denote its cohomology by $H_b^{p,\bullet}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$. This $H_b^{p,\bullet}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$ is called the basic Dolbeault cohomology of $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ with values in E .

We remark about the cohomology of the sheaf Ω_b^p of basic holomorphic p -forms on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ with values in E . If the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are not closed, the sheaf of (p, q) -forms with values in E may not be acyclic. Hence we may not have an isomorphism $H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$ between $H^q(M, \Omega_b^p \otimes E)$. Here the situation is different from the case of complex manifolds or orbifolds where we always have $H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \cong H^q(M, \Omega_b^p \otimes E)$.

3.3. The $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class of transversely holomorphic flows. Let M be a closed smooth manifold. Let $(\mathcal{F}, g, I)$ be a transversely holomorphic Riemannian flow on M . We assume that $(\mathcal{F}, g)$ is isometric with a Killing pair $(\tilde{g}, \xi)$. Let η be the characteristic form of $\mathcal{F}$ defined by $\eta(X) = g(\xi, X)$. Then the basic cohomology class of $d\eta$ is the basic Euler class of $(M, \mathcal{F})$. Note that the $(0, 2)$ -component $(d\eta)^{0,2}$ of $d\eta$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, because $\bar{\partial}(d\eta)^{0,2} = (dd\eta)^{0,3} = 0$.

Definition 3.3 (The $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class). *We define the $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class of $(\mathcal{F}, g, I)$ by $\mathbb{R}^\times[(d\eta)^{0,2}]$ in $H_b^{0,2}(M/\mathcal{F})$ up to multiplication of nonzero real numbers. If the $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class of $(\mathcal{F}, g, I)$ is trivial, we say the basic Euler class of $(\mathcal{F}, g, I)$ is of degree $(1, 1)$.*

We show the following lemma in a way different from the argument of Saralegui to show the well-definedness of the basic Euler class in [32].

Lemma 3.4. *The $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class is well-defined for $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ up to multiplication of nonzero real numbers.*

Proof. Let $(\tilde{g}_1, \xi_1)$ and $(\tilde{g}_2, \xi_2)$ be two Killing pairs on $(M, \mathcal{F})$. Let η_j be the characteristic form of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \tilde{g}_j)$ for $j = 1$ and 2 . By Lemma 7.1, there exist a real number r and a diffeomorphism f of M which maps each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ to itself, isotopic to the identity and satisfies $\eta_1|_{T\mathcal{F}} = rf^*(\eta_2|_{T\mathcal{F}})$. This implies that $f_*\xi_1 = r\xi_2$. Here $\eta_1 - rf^*\eta_2$ is a basic 1-form by $(\eta_1 - rf^*\eta_2)|_{T\mathcal{F}} = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}(\eta_1 - rf^*\eta_2) = 0$. Thus we have $\bar{\partial}((\eta_1 - rf^*\eta_2)^{0,1}) = (d\eta_1)^{0,2} - rf^*(d\eta_2)^{0,2}$. Since f is isotopic to the identity as a diffeomorphism which maps each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ to itself, we have $f^*[(d\eta_2)^{0,2}] = r[(d\eta_1)^{0,2}]$. $\square$

Obviously if the basic Euler class of $(\mathcal{F}, g, I)$ is of degree $(1, 1)$, then there exists a basic 1-form β such that $d(\eta + \beta)$ is a basic $(1, 1)$ -form on $(\mathcal{F}, I)$.

By Lemma 2.10, the underlying transversely holomorphic Riemannian flow of a Sasakian manifold is transversely Kähler. Moreover the basic cohomology class of the transverse Kähler form is the basic Euler class of the flow. Hence we have

Lemma 3.5. *The basic Euler class of the underlying transversely holomorphic isometric Riemannian flows of Sasakian manifolds are of degree $(1, 1)$.*

4. EXISTENCE OF EXTENSIONS OF SASAKIAN METRICS

We prove Theorem 1.1.

Let $(M, \mathcal{F}, I, g)$ be a closed manifold with a transversely holomorphic Riemannian flow. We assume that g is I -invariant. Let $(\tilde{g}, \xi)$ be a Killing pair on $\mathcal{F}$ such that the transverse component of $\tilde{g}$ is equal to g . Let η be the characteristic form of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \tilde{g})$. Put $H = \ker \eta$. We denote the restriction of the canonical projection $TM \rightarrow TM/T\mathcal{F}$ to H by π . We have a CR -structure (H, J) putting $J = \pi^{-1} \circ I \circ \pi$. We extend J on $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ linearly. Let $H^{0,1}$ (resp. $H^{1,0}$) be the vector subbundle of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ whose fibers are $(-i)$ -eigenspaces (resp. i -eigenspaces) of J .

The coefficient ring of differential forms is $\mathbb{C}$ in this section. By the decomposition $TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = H^{1,0} \oplus H^{0,1} \oplus (T\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, we define a triple grading on $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ as $\Omega_{h,j,k} = C^\infty(\wedge^h(H^{1,0})^* \otimes \wedge^j(H^{0,1})^* \otimes \wedge^k(T\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbb{C})^*)$. We can decompose the differential d as

$$(16) \quad d = d_{0,0,1} + d_{0,1,0} + d_{1,0,0} + d_{0,2,-1} + d_{1,1,-1} + d_{2,0,-1}$$

where the subscripts correspond to the triple grading of $\Omega^\bullet(M)$. Note that the basic (p, q) -forms can be embedded to $\Omega_{p,q,0}$. The restriction of $d_{0,1,0}$ and $d_{1,0,0}$ to basic Dolbeault complex are equal to $\bar{\partial}$ and ∂ , respectively. We consider a differential operator $D = d - d_{1,1,-1}$. Here $d_{1,1,-1}$ is a differential operator of degree 0. Indeed, $d_{1,1,-1}$ is written as

$$(17) \quad d_{1,1,-1}\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_{h-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{j+1}) = \sum_{s < t} (-1)^{s(h-1+t)} \alpha([X_s, Y_t], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_s, \dots, X_{h-1}, Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_t, \dots, Y_{j+1})$$

on $\Omega_{h,j,1}$ where X_s is a section of $H^{1,0}$ and Y_t is a section of $H^{0,1}$ for each s and t . Thus $d_{1,1,-1}$ satisfies $d_{1,1,-1}(f\alpha) = f d_{1,1,-1}\alpha$ for any smooth function f on M , which means that $d_{1,1,-1}$ is a differential operator of degree 0. Note that $d_{1,1,-1}$ is the zero map on $\Omega_{j,k,0}$. Hence the symbol of D is equal to the symbol of d . Let D^* be the adjoint of D with respect to the inner product on $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ defined by $\tilde{g}$. We put

$$(18) \quad \Delta_D = DD^* + D^*D.$$

Then Δ_D is a self-adjoint strongly elliptic operator, because the symbol of Δ is equal to the symbol of the Laplacian of d . Since g is I -invariant, the complex conjugation of the Hodge star operator $\bar{*}$ maps $\Omega_{j,k,h}$ to $\Omega_{n-j,n-k,1-h}$ where $2n$ is real codimension of $\mathcal{F}$. We have $D^* = -\bar{*}D\bar{*}$ integrating the formula

$$(19) \quad d(\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{*}\alpha_2) = D\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{*}\alpha_2 + (-1)^k \alpha_1 \wedge D\bar{*}\alpha_2$$

for α_1 in $\Omega^k(M)$ and α_2 in $\Omega^{k+1}(M)$.

Let $\mathbf{H}_D^k$ be the space of Δ_D -harmonic k -forms on M . A k -form α on M is Δ_D -harmonic if and only if $D\alpha = 0$ and $D^*\alpha = 0$. Let $\mathbf{H}_b^1$ be the space of basic harmonic 1-forms on $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$.

We will use the complex conjugation of the basic Hodge operator $\bar{*}_b: \Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{2n-k}(M/\mathcal{F})$ determined by g where $2n$ is the codimension of $\mathcal{F}$. According to Kamber and Tondeur [23], we define an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F})$ by

$$(20) \quad \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle = \int_M \eta \wedge \alpha \wedge \bar{*}_b \beta$$

for α_1 and α_2 in $\Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that there exists a real 1-form ζ on M such that*

- (a): ζ is the characteristic form of a Killing metric on $(M, \mathcal{F})$,
- (b): $\zeta(\xi) = 1$,
- (c): $d\zeta$ is of degree $(1, 1, 0)$ and a symplectic form on $TM/T\mathcal{F}$ and
- (d): $\bar{*}_b(\zeta - \eta)$ is d -closed.

Then we have

- (i) $D\zeta = 0$,
- (ii) $D^*\zeta = 0$ and
- (iii) we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{H}_D^1 = \mathbf{H}_b^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}\zeta$.

Proof. We show (i). By the Rummmler's formula (see the second formula in the proof of Proposition 1 in Rummmler [31] or Lemma 10.5.6 of Candel and Conlon [7]), $\iota_\xi d\zeta$ is the basic mean curvature form of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ with respect to the characteristic form ζ . Thus $\iota_\xi d\zeta$ is zero by the assumption (a). It follows that $d\zeta$ is a basic form. Thus $d\zeta$ is written as a sum of a $(2, 0, 0)$ -form, a $(1, 1, 0)$ -form and a $(0, 2, 0)$ -form. Since $d\zeta$ is of degree $(1, 1, 0)$ by the assumption (b), we have $d\zeta = d_{1,1,0}\zeta$. Thus we have $D\zeta = 0$.

We show (ii). Since $d\eta$ is also basic in the same reason as $d\zeta$, we have $\iota_\xi d(\zeta - \eta) = 0$. We have $\iota_\xi(\zeta - \eta) = 0$, because $\zeta(\xi) = 1$ by the assumption (b). It follows that $\zeta - \eta$ is basic. By Equation 2.11 of Kamber and Tondeur [23], we have $\bar{*}\alpha = (-1)^k \eta \wedge \bar{*}_b \alpha$ for a basic k -form α . Thus we have

$$(21) \quad \bar{*}\zeta = \bar{*}\eta + \bar{*}(\zeta - \eta) = \bar{*}\eta - \eta \wedge \bar{*}_b(\zeta - \eta).$$

The differential of the first term is 0, because $\bar{*}\eta$ is a basic volume form on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ by Equation 2.8 of Kamber and Tondeur [23]. Since $D\eta = 0$ by (i), $D\eta \wedge \bar{*}_b(\zeta - \eta)$ is zero. By the last assumption, $\eta \wedge D\bar{*}_b(\zeta - \eta)$ is zero. Note that the restriction of D on basic forms coincides with the differential d . It follows that $d\bar{*}\zeta = 0$, which implies $D^*\zeta = 0$.

We show (iii). Let α be a Δ_D -harmonic 1-form on M . We can put $\alpha = \beta + f\zeta$ for a section β of $((TM \otimes \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}\xi)^*$ and a smooth function f on M . By (i) and the D -closedness of α , We have

$$(22) \quad 0 = D(\beta + f\zeta) = D\beta + (Df) \wedge \zeta.$$

Since $d_{1,1,-1}$ is zero on $\Omega_{j,k,0}$, we have $D\beta = d\beta$ and $Df = df$. Thus we have

$$(23) \quad d\beta + df \wedge \zeta = 0.$$

Differentiating (23), we have $df \wedge d\zeta = 0$. Since $d\zeta$ is a symplectic form on $TM/T\mathcal{F}$, the map $\wedge(d\zeta)_x: T_x^*M \longrightarrow \wedge^2 T_x^*M$ is injective for each point x on M . This implies

$$(24) \quad df = 0.$$

By (23) and (24), we have

$$(25) \quad d\beta = 0.$$

By (ii), (21) and D^* -closedness of α , we have

$$(26) \quad 0 = D^*(\beta + f\zeta) = -\bar{*}D\bar{*}\beta - \bar{*}D(f\bar{*}\zeta) = -\bar{*}D\bar{*}\beta - \bar{*}D(f(\bar{*}\eta - \eta \wedge \bar{*}_b(\zeta - \eta))).$$

Since $d_{1,1,-1}$ is a zero map on $\Omega_{j,k,0}$, we have $d_{1,1,-1}\bar{*}\beta = 0$. Thus we have $D\bar{*}\beta = d\bar{*}\beta$. By (24), $f\bar{*}\eta$ is a basic volume form. Thus we have $D(f\bar{*}\eta) = 0$. By $D\eta = 0$, we have $f(D\eta) \wedge \bar{*}_b(\zeta - \eta) = 0$. By assumption (d), $\eta \wedge D\bar{*}_b(\zeta - \eta) = 0$. Thus we have

$$(27) \quad d^*\beta = 0.$$

Thus we have $\alpha = \beta + f\zeta$ where β is a basic harmonic 1-form and f is a constant by (24), (25) and (27). This proves (ii). $\square$

We recall a result in Nozawa [29]:

Theorem 4.2. *Let T be a connected open set of $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ and $\{\mathcal{F}^t\}_{t \in T}$ be a smooth family of Riemannian flows on a closed manifold. Then one of the following two cases occurs:*

- (i) *For every t in T , $\mathcal{F}^t$ is isometric.*
- (ii) *For every t in T , $\mathcal{F}^t$ is not isometric.*

Let T be an open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^\ell$. Let $\{(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t, g^t)\}_{t \in T}$ be a smooth family of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows on M . Assume that $(\mathcal{F}^0, I^0, g^0)$ has a compatible Sasakian metric $\tilde{g}$.

Proposition 4.3. *If the basic Euler class of $\mathcal{F}^t$ is of degree $(1, 1)$ for every t in T , then there exist an open neighborhood V of 0 in T and a smooth family $\{\tilde{g}^t\}_{t \in V}$ of Riemannian metrics on M such that $\tilde{g}^t$ is a Sasakian metric compatible to $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$ for every t in V and $\tilde{g}^0 = \tilde{g}$.*

Proof. We extend the smooth family of transverse metrics $\{g^t\}_{t \in T}$ to a smooth family of Riemannian metrics $\{g^{tt}\}_{t \in T}$ on M so that g^{tt} is bundle-like with respect to $\mathcal{F}^t$ and $g^{t0} = \tilde{g}$. Let κ^t be the mean curvature form of $(M, \mathcal{F}^t, g^{tt})$. We define a 1-form η^t by $\eta^t(Y) = g^{tt}(\zeta^t, Y)$.

We show that there exists a minimal metric $\hat{g}^t$ on $(M, \mathcal{F}^t)$ such that the orthogonal plane field of $T\mathcal{F}^t$ is a contact structure for t in V . Only in this paragraph, we will use a double grading of real de Rham complex $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ determined by the splitting $TM = (\ker \eta^t) \oplus T\mathcal{F}^t$ instead of the triple grading above. We put $A_{j,k}^t = C^\infty(\wedge^j(\ker \eta^t)^* \otimes \wedge^k T^*\mathcal{F}^t)$. Then $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ is decomposed as

$$(28) \quad \Omega^\bullet(M) = \oplus_{j,k} A_{j,k}^t$$

for each t . The differential d and its formal adjoint δ^t are decomposed as

$$(29) \quad d = d_{0,1}^t + d_{1,0}^t + d_{2,-1}^t, \quad \delta^t = \delta_{0,-1}^t + \delta_{-1,0}^t + \delta_{-2,1}^t$$

where the indices correspond to the double grading of $\Omega^\bullet(M)$. By Theorem 4.2, $(M, \mathcal{F}^t)$ is isometric. By a result of Carrière [8], the isometricity of $(M, \mathcal{F}^t)$ is equivalent to geometrically tautness of $(M, \mathcal{F}^t)$ (see Lemma 2.6). According to Proposition 4.3 and Equation 5.3 of Álvarez López [1], κ^t is contained in the image of $\delta_{0,-1}^t + d_{1,0}^t: A_{1,1}^t \oplus A_{0,0}^t \rightarrow A_{1,0}^t$. By the continuity of $\delta_{0,-1}^t + d_{1,0}^t: A_{1,1}^t \oplus A_{0,0}^t \rightarrow A_{1,0}^t$, we can choose an element β^t of $A_{1,1}^t$ and a smooth function f^t on M which satisfy $\kappa^t = \delta_{0,-1}^t \beta^t + d_{1,0}^t f^t$ so that β^t is sufficiently close to 0. We modify the orthogonal plane field $(T\mathcal{F}^t)^\perp$ by β^t and modify the metric $\tilde{g}^t|_{T\mathcal{F} \otimes T\mathcal{F}}$ along leaves by f^t to obtain a minimal metric $\hat{g}^t$ according to Proposition 4.3 and Equation 5.3

of Álvarez López [1]. Since β^t is close to 0, the orthogonal plane field H^t of $T\mathcal{F}^t$ with respect to $\tilde{g}_1^t$ is close to $(T\mathcal{F}^0)^\perp$. Since the space of contact forms are open in $\Omega^1(M)$, a plane field sufficiently close to a contact structure on a closed manifold is a contact structure. Thus H^t is a contact structure. $\hat{g}^t$ satisfies the condition.

We show that there exists a 1-form ζ^t on $(M, \mathcal{F}^t)$ which satisfies the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) in Lemma 4.1 for t in V . Fix a point t on V . Let θ^t be the characteristic form of $(M, \mathcal{F}^t, \hat{g}^t)$. Since the $(0, 2)$ -component of the basic Euler class is trivial by the assumption, there exists a basic $(0, 1)$ -form σ such that $\bar{\partial}\sigma = (d\theta^t)^{0,2}$. We consider $\sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ as a real 1-form on M . By the Hodge decomposition of basic de Rham complex (see El Kacimi and Hector [13] or Álvarez López [1]), we can take $\sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ in the image of the adjoint operator $d_b^*: \Omega_b^2(M/\mathcal{F}^t) \rightarrow \Omega_b^1(M/\mathcal{F}^t)$ of d on $\Omega_b^\bullet(M/\mathcal{F}^t)$. We put $\zeta^t = \theta^t - (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})$. By the argument of the previous paragraph, $\ker \theta^t$ is a contact structure. We can take small σ so that $\ker \zeta^t$ is also a contact structure. Then $d\zeta^t$ is a transverse symplectic form on $TM/T\mathcal{F}^t$. The conditions (a), (b) and (d) are satisfied. We have

$$(30) \quad d(\theta^t - (\sigma + \bar{\sigma})) = (d\theta^t)^{1,1} - (\partial\sigma + \bar{\partial}\bar{\sigma}).$$

Thus $d\zeta^t$ is a real closed basic $(1, 1)$ -form. Since t is sufficiently close to 0, we can take σ sufficiently close to 0. Then ζ^t is nondegenerate, because $d\theta^t$ is nondegenerate. Thus ζ^t satisfies the condition (c).

We consider a differential operator $\Delta_D(t)$ on $(M, \mathcal{F}^t)$ as above using a splitting $TM = (H^t)^{1,0} \oplus (H^t)^{0,1} \oplus (T\mathcal{F}^t \otimes \mathbb{C})$ determined by H^t and I^t . Let $\mathbf{H}_D^1(t)$ be the space of $\Delta_D(t)$ -harmonic 1-forms. Let $\mathbf{H}_b^1(t)$ be the space of basic harmonic 1-forms on $(M, \mathcal{F})$. By the consequence of the argument of the previous paragraph, $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t)$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 4.1. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\dim \mathbf{H}_D^1(t) = \dim \mathbf{H}_b^1(t) + 1$. We show that $\dim \mathbf{H}_D^1(t)$ is constant with respect to t . It suffices to show $\dim H_b^1(M/\mathcal{F}^t; \mathbb{C}) = \dim H^1(M; \mathbb{C})$. By the Gysin sequence of the isometric flow (see Saralegui [32]), we have

$$(31) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_b^1(M/\mathcal{F}^t; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^1(M; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H_b^0(M/\mathcal{F}^t; \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{L^t} H_b^2(M/\mathcal{F}^t; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Recall that L^t is given by the wedge product with the basic Euler class $[d\eta]$ (see [32]). Since $d\theta^t$ is a transverse symplectic form, $[d\theta^t]^n$ is nontrivial in $H_b^{2n}(M/\mathcal{F}^t; \mathbb{C})$. Thus $[d\theta^t]$ is nontrivial in $H_b^2(M/\mathcal{F}^t; \mathbb{C})$. By the exact sequence (31), we have $\dim H^1(M; \mathbb{C}) = \dim H_b^1(M/\mathcal{F}^t; \mathbb{C})$.

Since the dimension of $\mathbf{H}_D^1(t)$ is constant with respect to t by the consequence of the previous paragraph, the projection $F^t: \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_D^1(t)$ maps a smooth family of 1-forms to a smooth family of 1-forms by Theorem 5 of Kodaira and Spencer [24]. Putting $\varpi^t = F^t(\eta^t)$, we have a smooth family $\{\varpi^t\}_{t \in V}$ of $\Delta_D(t)$ -harmonic 1-forms such that $\zeta^0 = F^0(\eta^0) = \eta^0$. We show that $d\varpi^t$ is a basic $(1, 1)$ -form. By Lemma 4.1, ϖ is a sum of a basic 1-form and ζ^t . Thus $d\varpi^t$ is basic. By the D -closedness of ϖ^t , we have $d\varpi^t = d_{1,1,0}(t)\varpi^t$. Thus $d\varpi^t$ is of degree $(1, 1)$. Putting $\text{Re } \varpi^t = \frac{\varpi^t + \bar{\varpi}^t}{2}$, we have a smooth family $\text{Re } \varpi^t$ of real 1-forms such that the differential is a basic $(1, 1)$ -form. Since $d\eta^0$ is nondegenerate, $\text{Re } \varpi^t$ is also nondegenerate for t in V . By Lemma 2.10, a pair of a transversely Kähler flow $(\mathcal{F}^t, I^t, d \text{Re } \varpi^t)$ and a contact form $\text{Re } \varpi^t$ determines a Sasakian metric on M . $\square$

Theorem 1.1 directly follows from Proposition 4.3.

5. STABILITY OF K-CONTACT STRUCTURES

Theorem 1.5 is proved by an argument analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We describe the outline here. We use real de Rham complex here. We use the double grading $\Omega^\bullet(M) = \oplus_{j,k} A_{j,k}^t$ of $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ as (28) instead of the triple grading. We use the operator $\hat{D} = d_{1,0} + d_{0,1}$ instead of D above. We put $\Delta_{\hat{D}} = \hat{D}^* \hat{D} + \hat{D} \hat{D}^*$. This $\Delta_{\hat{D}}$ is self-adjoint strongly elliptic operator as D . The lemma corresponding to Lemma 4.1 is as follows: Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a closed manifold with a Riemannian flow. Let $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{D}}^1$ be the space of $\Delta_{\hat{D}}$ -harmonic 1-forms. Let $\mathbf{H}_b^1$ be the space of basic harmonic 1-forms on $(M, \mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that there exists a 1-form ζ on M such that*

- (a): ζ is the characteristic form of a Killing metric on $(M, \mathcal{F})$,
- (b): $\zeta(\xi) = 1$,
- (c): $d\zeta$ is of degree $(2, 0)$ and a symplectic form on $TM/T\mathcal{F}$ and
- (d): $*_b(\zeta - \eta)$ is d -closed.

Then we have

- (i) $\hat{D}\zeta = 0$,
- (ii) $\hat{D}^*\zeta = 0$ and
- (iii) we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{H}_{\hat{D}}^1 = \mathbf{H}_b^1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\zeta$.

6. KODAIRA-AKIZUKI-NAKANO VANISHING THEOREM FOR TRANSVERSELY KÄHLER FOLIATIONS

We prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

We recall basic notion of Lefschetz theory for basic forms. In this section, we follow the notation of the book by Huybrechts [22] basically. Let $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ be a complex codimension n transversely Kähler foliation with transverse Kähler form ω . At each point x on M , we have the Hodge star operator

$$(32) \quad *_b, x: \wedge^k (T_x M / T_x \mathcal{F})^* \longrightarrow \wedge^{2n-k} (T_x M / T_x \mathcal{F})^*$$

determined by the transverse metric on $T_x M / T_x \mathcal{F}$. A basic k -form on $(M, \mathcal{F})$ can be considered as a section of $\wedge^k (T^* M / T^* \mathcal{F})$. Thus we have the basic Hodge operator

$$(33) \quad *_b: \Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{2n-k}(M/\mathcal{F})$$

Composing $*_b$ with complex conjugation, we have

$$(34) \quad \bar{*}_b: \Omega_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{n-p, n-q}(M/\mathcal{F}).$$

Let (E, h_E) be an $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over $(M, \mathcal{F})$. Let ∇_E be the Chern connection of (E, h_E) . Recall that the $(0, 1)$ -component $\nabla_E^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}_E$ of ∇ is characterized by the formula (15) by the definition. We denote the $(1, 0)$ -component of ∇ by $\nabla_E^{1,0}$. Let

$$(35) \quad h: E \longrightarrow E^*$$

be a $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear isomorphism defined by $h(s) = h_E(s, \cdot)$ by the Hermitian metric h_E . The complex conjugation of the basic Hodge star operator on the basic Dolbeault complex with values in E

$$(36) \quad \bar{*}_{b,E}: \Omega_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{n-p, n-q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E^*)$$

is defined by $\bar{*}_{b,E}(\alpha \otimes s) = \bar{*}_b \alpha \otimes h(s)$ for sections of the form $\alpha \otimes s$ where α is a basic (p, q) -form and s is a local holomorphic section of E . We define the basic Lefschetz operator

$$(37) \quad L: \Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{k+2}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$$

by $L\alpha = \alpha \wedge \omega$.

Let $\tilde{\wedge}$ be the wedge product defined by the composite of

$$(38) \quad \Omega_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \times \Omega_b^{n-p,n-q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E^*) \xrightarrow{\wedge} \Omega_b^{n,n}(M/\mathcal{F}, E \otimes E^*) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{n,n}(M/\mathcal{F})$$

where the second map is induced by the coupling map $E \otimes E^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We assume that $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is homologically orientable in the sequel. We define an inner product on $\Omega_b^\bullet(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$ under the assumption of homologically orientability following the argument of El Kacimi and Hector in Section 4.5 of [13] (see also El Kacimi [12]). Let $\rho: M^1 \longrightarrow M$ be the orthonormal frame bundle of the normal bundle of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\pi: M^1 \longrightarrow W$ be the basic fibration. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ be the vector fields on M which generate the free action of $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ on M^1 . Let θ_i be the basic form which is the dual of X_i . We define a $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ -form χ on M^1 by $\chi = \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$. If W is orientable, an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$(39) \quad (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \int_W \mathcal{I}(\rho^*(\alpha_1 \tilde{\wedge} \bar{*}_{b,E} \alpha_2) \wedge \chi)$$

for two elements α_1 and α_2 of $\Omega_b^\bullet(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$. Here $\mathcal{I}: \Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-d}(W)$ is the integration along fibers of π defined under the assumption of the homologically orientability of $\mathcal{F}$ by Hector and El Kacimi (see Proposition 3.2 of [13]). This $\mathcal{I}$ commutes with d as shown there. If W is not orientable, the orientation cover of W can be used to define an inner product in the same equation as (39). In what follows, we also assume that the orientability of W for the simplicity.

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$(40) \quad \int_M \mathcal{I}(\rho^*(\bar{\partial}\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \wedge \chi) = (-1)^{p+q} \int_M \mathcal{I}(\rho^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{\partial}\alpha_2) \wedge \chi)$$

for α_1 in $\Omega_b^{p,q-1}(M/\mathcal{F})$ and α_2 in $\Omega_b^{n-p,n-q}(M/\mathcal{F})$. We have

$$(41) \quad \int_M \mathcal{I}(\rho^*(\nabla_E^{1,0} \beta_1 \tilde{\wedge} \beta_2) \wedge \chi) = (-1)^{p+q} \int_M \mathcal{I}(\rho^*(\beta_1 \tilde{\wedge} \nabla_{E^*}^{1,0} \beta_2) \wedge \chi)$$

for β_1 in $\Omega_b^{p-1,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$ and β_2 in $\Omega_b^{n-p,n-q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E^*)$.

Proof. By local computation, we have

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} & d(\rho^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \wedge \chi) \\ &= (\rho^*(\bar{\partial}\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \wedge \chi) + (-1)^{p+q-1} (\rho^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \bar{\partial}\alpha_2) \wedge \chi) + (-1)^{2n-1} (\rho^*(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \wedge d\chi). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} & d(\rho^*(\beta_1 \tilde{\wedge} \beta_2) \wedge \chi) \\ &= (\rho^*(\nabla_E^{1,0} \beta_1 \tilde{\wedge} \beta_2) \wedge \chi) + (-1)^{p+q-1} (\rho^*(\beta_1 \wedge \nabla_{E^*}^{1,0} \beta_2) \wedge \chi) + (-1)^{2n-1} (\rho^*(\beta_1 \wedge \beta_2) \wedge d\chi). \end{aligned}$$

The argument in Proposition 4.6 of El Kacimi and Hector [13] or Section 3.2.4 of El Kacimi [12] imply that the third terms of the right-hand sides of (42) and (43)

are zero. Composing $\mathcal{I}$ and integrating on W both sides of (42) and (43), we have (40) and (41). $\square$

We denote the formal adjoint of a differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ on E with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)$ by $\mathcal{D}^*$.

As in Proposition 2.7.5 of El Kacimi [12], $(\Omega_b^\bullet(M/\mathcal{F}, E), (\cdot, \cdot))$ is isomorphic to the space of global sections of a Hermitian vector bundle $\overline{E}$ over W as Hermitian vector spaces. By Propositions 2.7.7 and 2.7.8 of El Kacimi [12], $\overline{\partial}_E$ induces a strongly elliptic differential operator on $\overline{E}$. The formula (40) in Lemma 6.1 implies that the formal adjoint $\overline{\partial}_E^*$ of $\overline{\partial}_E$ is given by $\overline{\partial}_E^* = -(\overline{*}_{b,E^*})\overline{\partial}_E(\overline{*}_{b,E})$. Hence this argument of El Kacimi proves that the Hodge decomposition theorem holds for basic Dolbeault complex with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$:

Theorem 6.2. *There is an orthogonal decomposition*

$$(44) \quad \Omega_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) = \ker \Delta_{\overline{\partial}_E} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \overline{\partial}_E \oplus \operatorname{Im} \overline{\partial}_E^*$$

with respect to the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ where $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_E} = \overline{\partial}_E^* \overline{\partial}_E + \overline{\partial}_E \overline{\partial}_E^*$.

Hodge decompositions for $\overline{\partial}$ and ∂ on $\Omega_{p,q}^\bullet(M/\mathcal{F})$ imply the following $\partial\overline{\partial}$ -lemma as in the case of Kähler manifolds (see Corollary 3.2.10 of Huybrechts [22]):

Corollary 6.3. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a closed manifold with a homologically orientable transversely Kähler foliation. Let α be a d -closed basic (p, q) -form on $(M, \mathcal{F})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a basic $(p + q - 1)$ -form β such that $d\beta = \alpha$.*
- (ii) *There exists a basic $(p, q - 1)$ -form β such that $\overline{\partial}\beta = \alpha$.*
- (iii) *There exists a basic $(p - 1, q)$ -form β such that $\partial\beta = \alpha$.*
- (iv) *There exists a basic $(p - 1, q - 1)$ -form β such that $\overline{\partial}\partial\beta = \alpha$.*

By (40) in Lemma 6.1, the pairing $\tilde{\Lambda}$ defined in (38) induces a product on basic Dolbeault cohomology

$$(45) \quad H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \times H_b^{n-p, n-q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

By the Hodge decomposition Theorem 6.2, the Serre duality is proved as the case of complex manifolds (see Proposition 4.1.15 of [22]):

Theorem 6.4. *The pairing (45) is nondegenerate. In particular, there is an isomorphism $H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \cong H_b^{n-p, n-q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E^*)^*$.*

We put $\Lambda = L^*$. We have

- Lemma 6.5.**
- (i) $\Lambda = (\overline{*}_{b,E^*}) L (\overline{*}_{b,E})$,
 - (ii) $(\nabla_E^{1,0})^* = -(\overline{*}_{b,E^*}) \nabla_{E^*}^{1,0} (\overline{*}_{b,E})$.

Proof. (i) is easily proved by the definition. (ii) follows from (41) in Lemma 6.1. $\square$

Let (E, h_E) be an $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on $(M, \mathcal{F})$. The curvature form F_∇ of the Chern connection of (E, h_E) is identified with a basic 2-form on M by a natural isomorphism $\Omega_b^2(M/\mathcal{F}) \otimes \operatorname{End}(E) \cong \Omega_b^2(M/\mathcal{F}) \otimes C_b^\infty(M/\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \Omega_b^2(M/\mathcal{F})$. The positivity of (E, h_E) is defined in a way similar to the case of line bundles on complex manifolds:

Definition 6.6 (Positivity of $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered complex line bundles). *(E, h_E) is positive if F_∇ is a transverse Kähler form of $(\mathcal{F}, I)$ for a transverse metric on $(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

The following proposition shows that the positivity of (E, h_E) is determined only by the basic first Chern class $[F_\nabla]$ of E if we allow to change the metric.

Proposition 6.7. *If there exists a basic positive form ω such that $[F_\nabla] = [\omega]$ in $H_b^2(M/\mathcal{F})$, then there exists a metric h'_E on E such that (E, h'_E) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian holomorphic line bundle whose curvature form is ω .*

Proposition 6.7 follows from Corollary 6.3 as in the case of Kähler manifolds (see the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 7.10 of Voisin [33]).

We define the curvature operator

$$(46) \quad F_\nabla: \Omega_b^k(M/\mathcal{F}, E) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{k+2}(M/\mathcal{F}, E)$$

by $F_\nabla \alpha = \alpha \wedge F_\nabla$.

We recall the definition of basic (p, q) -forms with values in E on $(M, \mathcal{F})$. We use the notation in Section 3.2. Clearly the basic Lefschetz operator L and the basic Hodge star operator $\bar{*}_{b,E}$ satisfy

$$(47) \quad L\phi_j^* \beta = \phi_j^* L_{B^n} \beta, \quad (\bar{*}_{b,E})\phi_j^* \beta = \phi_j^* (\bar{*}_{E_{B^n}})\beta$$

for a differential form β on B^n where L_{B^n} is a Lefschetz operator on B^n with respect to ω and $\bar{*}_{E_{B^n}}$ is a Hodge star operator on B^n with values in E_{B^n} . We have the following formulas for any homologically orientable transversely Kähler foliations as well as the case of complex manifolds: Let $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}_E} = \bar{\partial}_E \bar{\partial}_E^* + \bar{\partial}_E^* \bar{\partial}_E$ and $\Delta_{\nabla_E^{1,0}} = \nabla_E^{1,0} (\nabla_E^{1,0})^* + (\nabla_E^{1,0})^* \nabla_E^{1,0}$. We have the Nakano identity and Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano equality:

Lemma 6.8.

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda \bar{\partial}_E - \bar{\partial}_E \Lambda &= -i(\nabla_{E^*}^{1,0})^* \\ \Delta_{\bar{\partial}_E} &= \Delta_{\nabla_E^{1,0}} + i[F_\nabla, \Lambda]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$(49) \quad \Lambda_{B^n} \bar{\partial}_{E_{B^n}} - \bar{\partial}_{E_{B^n}} \Lambda_{B^n} = -i(\nabla_{E_{B^n}^*}^{1,0})^*$$

by the classical Nakano identity on B^n , which is proved by a local argument on B^n where $\Lambda_{B^n} = \bar{*}_{E_{B^n}^*} L \bar{*}_{E_{B^n}}$ (see Lemma 5.2.3 of Huybrechts [22]). Here (i) is proved by pulling back (49) by ϕ_j .

(ii) follows from Lemma 6.5 and (i) (see 4.6 of Demailly [10]). $\square$

Theorem 1.3 follows from Lemma 6.8 (ii) as in the case of complex manifolds (see Equation 4.10 of Demailly [10] and Section 2 of Demailly [9]).

The analog of Grauert-Riemenschneider's vanishing theorem [18] and Girbau's vanishing theorem [15] also follow from the Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano equality as in [9].

Theorem 6.9. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a closed manifold with a homologically orientable transversely Kähler foliation. Let (E, h_E) be an $\mathcal{F}$ -fibered Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on $(M, \mathcal{F})$.*

(i) [18] *If F_∇ has rank at least equal to s at some point on X , then*

$$(50) \quad H_b^{0,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E^*) = 0$$

for $q < s$.

(ii) [15] If F_{∇} has rank at least equal to s at every point on X , then

$$(51) \quad H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, E^*) = 0$$

for $p + q < s$.

Definition 6.10 (Positivity of Sasakian manifolds). *For a closed manifold M with a complex codimension n transversely holomorphic foliation $(\mathcal{F}, I)$, the line bundle $K_{\mathcal{F}} = \wedge^n(TM/T\mathcal{F})^{1,0*}$ is called the canonical line bundle of $(M, \mathcal{F}, I)$. The dual of the canonical line bundle is called the anticanonical line bundle. A Sasakian manifold is positive if the anticanonical line bundle of the underlying transversely Kähler flow is positive.*

We refer Boyer, Galicki and Nakamaye [6] for more detailed information on positive Sasakian manifolds.

Even though we have Hodge decomposition Theorem 6.2 and Serre duality Theorem 6.4, the classical argument for complex manifolds does not work to show our Theorem 1.2. This is because $H_b^{p,q}(M/\mathcal{F})$ may not be isomorphic to $H^q(M/\mathcal{F}, \Omega_b^p)$. Indeed, the basic Dolbeault complex with values in E may not be an acyclic resolution when the leaves are not closed. We use Lemma 6.11 which allows us to avoid the sheaf cohomology of basic holomorphic forms.

Let $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the canonical line bundle of $(M, \mathcal{F})$. We fix a transverse Hermitian metric on $(TM/T\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Let $h_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}$ be the Hermitian metric on $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ induced from the metric on $(TM/T\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We recall a $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear isomorphism

$$(52) \quad h: K_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{F}}^*$$

defined by $h(s) = h_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}(s, \cdot)$. Note that the canonical line bundle $(K_{\mathcal{F}}, h_{K_{\mathcal{F}}})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ -fibred Hermitian holomorphic line bundle. Hence we can apply the argument in this section. Let $\mathbf{H}_b^{p,q}$ be the space of basic harmonic (p, q) -forms. Let $\mathbf{H}_b^{p,q}(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ be the space of basic harmonic (p, q) -forms with values in $K_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Let $\mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}$ be the identity in $\text{End}(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = C^\infty(K_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes K_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$. We have natural maps

$$(53) \quad \Xi: \Omega_b^{n,q}(M/\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{n,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, K_{\mathcal{F}})$$

$$(54) \quad \Theta: \Omega_b^{0,q}(M/\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \Omega_b^{n,q}(M/\mathcal{F}, K_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$$

defined by $\Xi(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_1$ and $\Theta(\alpha_2) = \mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \wedge \alpha_2$ for α_1 in $\Omega_b^{n,0}(M/\mathcal{F}) = C^\infty(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ and α_2 in $\Omega_b^{0,q}(M/\mathcal{F})$. Clearly both of Θ and Ξ are bijective.

Lemma 6.11.

$$(55) \quad \Xi(\mathbf{H}_b^{n,q}) = \mathbf{H}_b^{0,q}(K_{\mathcal{F}})$$

$$(56) \quad \Theta(\mathbf{H}_b^{0,q}) = \mathbf{H}_b^{n,q}(K_{\mathcal{F}}^*)$$

Proof. We show (55). We have $\mathbf{H}_b^{n,q} = \ker \bar{\partial} \cap \ker \bar{\partial}^*$ and $\mathbf{H}_b^{0,q}(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \cap \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^*$. Since

$$(57) \quad \Xi \circ \bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \circ \Xi,$$

we have $\Xi(\ker \bar{\partial}) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}$.

We will show

$$(58) \quad \Xi(\ker \bar{\partial}^*) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^*.$$

Let α be an element of $\ker \bar{\partial}^*$. By a result of Gómez Mont [17], for each point x on M , we can take an open neighborhood W of x such that $(W, \mathcal{F}|_W, I|_W)$ is isomorphic to the standard transversely holomorphic foliation on $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n$ defined by a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n = \sqcup_{z \in B^n} \mathbb{R}^m \times \{z\}$ where B^n is the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Since that (58) is a local formula, we can compute on W . We can write $\alpha|_W$ as $\alpha|_W = \sum_j (s_j \wedge \beta_j)$ where s_j is a section of $K_{\mathcal{F}}|_W$ which satisfies $h_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}(s_j, s_j) = 1$ for each j . By the definition of $\bar{*}_b$ and $h(s_j, s_j) = 1$, we have

$$(59) \quad \bar{*}_b \beta_j = (-1)^{nq} s_j \wedge \bar{*}_b (s_j \wedge \beta_j)$$

for each j . Since $h(s_j, s_j) = 1$, we have that $s_j \otimes h(s_j) = \mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}$. Then we have

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{*}_{b, K_{\mathcal{F}}} \Xi(\alpha) &= \bar{*}_{b, K_{\mathcal{F}}} \left(\sum_j \beta_j \otimes s_j \right) \\ &= \sum_j \bar{*}_b \beta_j \otimes h(s_j) \\ &= (-1)^{nq} \left(\sum_j s_j \wedge \bar{*}_b (s_j \wedge \beta_j) \otimes h(s_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_j \bar{*}_b (s_j \wedge \beta_j) \wedge s_j \otimes h(s_j) \\ &= \sum_j \bar{*}_b (s_j \wedge \beta_j) \wedge \mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \\ &= \bar{*}_b \alpha \wedge \mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is a holomorphic section of $K_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes K_{\mathcal{F}}^*$, we have

$$(61) \quad \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \bar{*}_{b, K_{\mathcal{F}}} \Xi(\alpha) = \left((\bar{\partial} \bar{*}_b \alpha) \wedge \mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \right) + (-1)^n \left(\bar{*}_b \alpha \wedge \bar{\partial}_{(K_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes K_{\mathcal{F}}^*)} \mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}} \right) = \bar{\partial}(\bar{*}_b \alpha) \wedge \mathbf{1}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}.$$

Hence we have $\Xi(\ker \bar{\partial}^*) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^*$.

We show (56) in a similar way. It is easy to see $\Theta(\ker \bar{\partial}) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^*$. We will show

$$(62) \quad \Theta(\ker \bar{\partial}^*) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^*.$$

By a result of Gómez Mont [17], for each point x on M , we can take an open neighborhood W of x such that $(W, \mathcal{F}|_W, I|_W)$ is isomorphic to the standard transversely holomorphic foliation on $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n$ defined by a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^m \times B^n = \sqcup_{z \in B^n} \mathbb{R}^m \times \{z\}$. Since that (62) is a local formula, we can compute on W . Then we can take a section s of $K_{\mathcal{F}}|_W$ such that

$$(63) \quad \alpha \wedge \mathbf{1} = \alpha \wedge s \otimes h(s).$$

By (59) and (60), we have

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{*}_{b, K_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta(\alpha) &= \bar{*}_{b, K_{\mathcal{F}}} (\alpha \wedge \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \bar{*}_{b, K_{\mathcal{F}}} (\alpha \wedge s \otimes h(s)) \\ &= \bar{*}_b (\alpha \wedge s) \otimes s \\ &= \Xi(s \wedge \bar{*}_b (\alpha \wedge s)) \\ &= \Xi(\bar{*}_b \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Theta(\ker \bar{\partial}^*) = \ker \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^*$ follows from (57) and (64), because

$$(65) \quad \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^* (\bar{*}_{b, K_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta(\alpha)) = \bar{\partial}_{K_{\mathcal{F}}}^* \Xi(\bar{*}_b \alpha) = \Xi(\bar{\partial} \bar{*}_b \alpha).$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

Lemma 6.11 allows us to prove Theorem 1.2 by Theorem 1.3 without using the sheaf cohomology of basic holomorphic forms.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The underlying transversely Kähler flow of a Sasakian manifold is isometric. Hence the flow is homologically orientable by a result of Molino and Sergiescu [27]. By the Kähler identity for homologically orientable transversely Kähler foliation by El Kacimi (see Section 3.4 of [12]), the complex conjugation gives an isomorphism

$$(66) \quad \mathbf{H}_b^{p,0} \cong \mathbf{H}_b^{0,p}$$

By Lemma 6.11, it follows that

$$(67) \quad \mathbf{H}_b^{0,p} \cong \mathbf{H}_b^{n,p}(K_{\mathcal{F}}^*).$$

The last term vanishes if $K_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ is positive and $p > 0$ by Theorem 1.3. $\square$

We remark on the other possibility of the inner product on the basic de Rham complex. Álvarez López [1] proved the Hodge decomposition theorem for basic de Rham complex with respect to the inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ defined by the restriction of the usual inner product of the de Rham complex. Note that the formal adjoint of d with respect to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ is not given by the basic Hodge star operator as Lemma 6.5. The difference of the formal adjoint and $-\bar{*}_b d \bar{*}_b$ is given by the mean curvature form of $\mathcal{F}$ (see Proposition 3.6 of Kamber and Tondeur [23]). Hence Lemma 6.5 is not true in general for $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$. To show Theorem 1.3 using $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$, one can apply Masa's theorem in [25] for the existence of a minimal metric on homologically orientable foliations. Since the mean curvature form is zero for a minimal metric, the adjoint of d with respect to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ is given by its conjugation of d by the basic Hodge operator. Then Lemma 6.5 are true for $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$. Then the rest of the argument is the same as above.

7. MODULI SPACE OF SASAKIAN METRICS WITH A FIXED UNDERLYING TRANSVERSE KÄHLER FLOW

We will prove Theorem 1.6.

Let M be a closed manifold with a Sasakian metric with contact form η . Let $\mathfrak{k}_0$ be the underlying transversely Kähler flow. We define $\text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ and $\text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ as in Section 1.5. We describe the relation of $\text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ and $\text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0)$. Obviously we have an exact sequence

$$(68) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$$

where Φ is defined by $\Phi(f) = [\eta - f^*\eta]$ for f in $\text{Diff}_0(\mathfrak{k}_0)$. Note that $\eta - f^*\eta$ is closed, because f preserves the transverse Kähler form $d\eta$.

We will use the leafwise cohomology and the spectral sequence of foliated manifolds $(M, \mathcal{F})$. A filtration of the de Rham complex of M is defined by $\mathcal{F}$ in a way similar to the Leray spectral sequence of fiber bundles. The k -th leafwise cohomology of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is naturally identified with the $E_1^{0,k}$ -term of this spectral sequence. For spectral sequence of foliations, we refer El Kacimi and Hector [13] or Kamber and Tondeur [23].

In the sequel, $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ denotes the subgroup of $\text{Diff}(M)$ consisting of diffeomorphisms such that

- f maps each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ to itself and
- f is isotopic to the identity through diffeomorphisms which map each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ to itself.

Note that $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0)$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be an isometric Riemannian flow. Let η_j be the characteristic forms of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \tilde{g}_j)$ for a Killing metric $\tilde{g}_j$ for $j = 1$ and 2 . There exists a real number r and a diffeomorphism f of M in $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that*

$$(69) \quad \eta_1|_{T\mathcal{F}} = rf^*(\eta_2|_{T\mathcal{F}}).$$

Proof. η_1 and η_2 are the characteristic forms of Killing metrics. Thus, by Corollary 4.7 of Kamber and Tondeur [23], both of the leafwise cohomology classes of $\eta_1|_{T\mathcal{F}}$ and $\eta_2|_{T\mathcal{F}}$ generate the E_2 -term $E_2^{0,1}(\mathcal{F})$ of dimension 1. Hence we have a real number r such that

$$(70) \quad [\eta_1|_{T\mathcal{F}}] = r[\eta_2|_{T\mathcal{F}}]$$

in the leafwise cohomology group $H^1(\mathcal{F})$. By the leafwise version of the Moser's argument (see Hector, Macias and Saralegui [21]), we have a diffeomorphism f of M in $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$(71) \quad \eta_1|_{T\mathcal{F}} = rf^*(\eta_2|_{T\mathcal{F}}).$$

□

Lemma 7.2. *Let ξ be a nowhere vanishing vector field on M . Let $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the flow generated by ξ . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the orbit foliation of ξ . Let η be a 1-form on M which satisfies $\mathcal{L}_\xi \eta = 0$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. Let h be a smooth function on M .*

- (i) *If $D\phi_t + t(dh \otimes \xi)$ is nondegenerate at each point of x for any t in $[0, 1]$, then $\{\phi_{h(x)t}\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is an isotopy.*
- (ii) *Assume that $\{\phi_{h(x)t}\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is an isotopy. Let f be the time one map of the isotopy $\{\phi_{h(x)t}\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$. Then we have*

$$(72) \quad f^*\eta = \eta + dh.$$

- (iii) *If h satisfies $\xi h = 0$, then there exists a diffeomorphism f of M in $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that*

$$(73) \quad f^*\eta = \eta + dh.$$

Proof. We consider maps

$$(74) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Psi: & M \times \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R} \\ & (x, t) & \longmapsto (\phi_t(x), t) \end{array}$$

and

$$(75) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H: & M \times \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R} \\ & (x, t) & \longmapsto (x, h(x)t) \end{array}$$

Let $\text{pr}_1: M \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$ be the first projection. For each t , we have

$$(76) \quad D(\text{pr}_1 \circ \Psi \circ H|_{M \times \{t\}}) = D\phi_t + t dh \otimes \xi.$$

Thus, by the assumption of the nondegeneracy of the right hand side of (76), $\text{pr}_1 \circ \Psi \circ H|_{M \times \{t\}}: M \times \{t\} \longrightarrow M$ is an open immersion. Since an open immersion from a closed manifold to a closed manifold of degree 1 is a diffeomorphism, $\text{pr}_1 \circ \Psi \circ H|_{M \times \{t\}}$ is a diffeomorphism of M . Since $\phi_{h(x)t} = \text{pr}_1 \circ \Psi \circ H|_{M \times \{t\}}$ by the definition, the proof of (i) is completed.

For a vector field Y on M , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^*\eta(Y) &= \eta(D(\text{pr}_1 \circ \Psi \circ H|_{M \times \{1\}})(Y)) \\
 &= \eta((D\phi_1 + dh \otimes \xi)(Y)) \\
 &= \phi_1^*\eta(Y) + dh(Y) \\
 &= \eta(Y) + dh(Y).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{77}$$

Hence (ii) is proved.

We take a large integer N so that $D\phi_t + \frac{1}{N}dh \otimes \xi$ is nondegenerate at each point of x for any t in $[0, 1]$. We define ζ_j by

$$\zeta_j = \eta + \frac{j}{N}dh \tag{78}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$. By $\xi h = 0$, we have $\mathcal{L}_\xi \zeta_j = 0$ and $\zeta_j(\xi) = 1$ for each j . By (i) and (ii), we have a diffeomorphism $f_{\frac{1}{N}}$ of M in $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$f_{\frac{1}{N}}^* \zeta_j = \zeta_{j+1}. \tag{79}$$

Hence we can take f in the statement of (iii) as $f = (f_{\frac{1}{N}})^N$. $\square$

Let $(M, \eta, \tilde{g})$ be a closed Sasakian manifold. By Lemma 2.10, a Sasakian metric on M is determined by a contact form η and a transversely Kähler structure of the flow $\mathcal{F}$ defined by the flow generated by the Reeb vector field of η on M such that the differential of the contact form coincides with the transverse Kähler form. For a basic closed form β , we can construct a Sasakian metric σ_β determined by a contact form $\eta + \beta$ and the same transversely Kähler structure of $\mathcal{F}$ as $(\eta, \tilde{g})$. We consider the set of Sasakian metrics

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\sigma_\beta \mid \beta \text{ is a basic harmonic 1-form on } (M, \tilde{g})\}.$$

Proposition 7.3. *Every orbit of the action of $\text{Ham}(\mathfrak{k}_0)$ on $\mathcal{S}$ intersects $\mathcal{S}_1$.*

Proof. Take a Sasakian metric (η_1, g_1) whose underlying transversely Kähler flow is isomorphic to the transversely Kähler flow of (η, g) . The isomorphism of transversely Kähler flows means $d\eta = d\eta_1$ and the transverse metrics induced by g and g_1 are equal. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the common underlying flow of (η, g) and (η_1, g_1) . By Lemma 7.1, there exist a real number r and a diffeomorphism f_1 of M in $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\eta|_{T\mathcal{F}} = rf_1^*(\eta_1|_{T\mathcal{F}}). \tag{80}$$

We put $\eta_2 = f_1^*\eta_1$. Since the restriction of $\eta - r\eta_2$ to $T\mathcal{F}$ is zero and $d(\eta - r\eta_2)$ is basic, $\eta - r\eta_2$ is basic. Hence we have

$$[d\eta] = r[d\eta_2] = r[d\eta_1] \tag{81}$$

in $H_b^2(M/\mathcal{F})$. Note that $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ trivially acts on the basic forms by the definition. Since we have $[d\eta_1] = [d\eta]$ by the assumption, it follows that $r = 1$. Thus $\eta - \eta_2$ is a closed basic 1-form. By the basic Hodge decomposition for Riemannian foliations (see El Kacimi and Hector [13] or Álvarez López [1]), there exists a basic harmonic 1-form β and a smooth basic function h_2 such that

$$\eta - \eta_2 = \beta + dh_2. \tag{82}$$

Let ξ be the common Reeb vector field of η and η_2 . By Lemma 7.2, we have a diffeomorphism f of M in $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$(83) \quad f_2^* \eta_2 = \eta_2 + dh_2.$$

Hence we have

$$(84) \quad f_2^* \eta_2 = \eta - \beta.$$

Thus $f_2^* \eta_2$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_1$. Since $f_2^* \eta_2$ is on the same orbit of the action of $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ as η_1 , it follows that $\mathcal{S}_1$ intersects with every orbit of the action of $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$. $\square$

Proposition 7.4. *Every orbit of the action of $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ on $\mathcal{S}$ intersects $\mathcal{S}_1$ at most one point.*

Proof. Assume that we have

$$(85) \quad \eta - \beta_1 = f^*(\eta - \beta_2)$$

for two harmonic basic 1-forms β_1, β_2 and an element f of $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$. We will show $\beta_1 = \beta_2$.

By (85), we have $\eta - f^* \eta = \beta_1 - f^* \beta_2$. Then $\eta - f^* \eta$ is basic. It follows that f satisfies

$$(86) \quad f_* \xi = \xi.$$

Here $f^* \beta_2$ is a basic harmonic 1-form which is cohomologous to β_2 , because f is isotopic to the identity and preserves the transverse metric of $\mathcal{F}$. Since each basic cohomology class is represented by a unique harmonic form by the Hodge decomposition theorem (see El Kacimi and Hector [13] or Álvarez López [1]), we have

$$(87) \quad f^* \beta_2 = \beta_2.$$

We take an isotopy $\{\phi_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ such that $\phi_0 = \text{id}$, $\phi_1 = f$ and ϕ_s is an element of $\text{Ham}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$ for every s in $[0, 1]$. We put $X_s = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=s} \phi_t$. Since $\eta - \phi_s^* \eta$ is exact, $\mathcal{L}_{X_s} \eta = \iota_{X_s} d\eta + d(\eta(X_s))$ is exact. Thus we have a smooth function h_s on M such that

$$(88) \quad \iota_{X_s} d\eta = dh_s$$

for each s in $[0, 1]$. Since $\mathcal{L}_{h\xi} \eta = dh$ for any smooth function h on M , (88) implies

$$(89) \quad \mathcal{L}_{X_s - (h_s + \eta(X_s))\xi} \eta = \iota_{X_s} d\eta + d(\eta(X_s)) - d(h_s + \eta(X_s)) = 0.$$

Let $\{\phi'_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ be the isotopy generated by vector fields $\{X_s + (h_s - \eta(X_s))\xi\}_{s \in [0,1]}$. We have

$$(90) \quad (\phi'_1)^* \eta = \eta$$

by (89). Hence we have

$$(91) \quad (\phi'_1)^* \xi = \xi$$

Putting $f_3 = (\phi'_1)^{-1} \circ f$, we have

$$(92) \quad f^* \eta = f_3^* (\phi'_1)^* \eta = f_3^* \eta$$

by (90). The difference of the two vector fields which generate $\{\phi_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ and $\{\phi'_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ is $(h_s + \eta(X_s))\xi$, which is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Thus ϕ_s and ϕ'_s induces the same map on the leaf space of $(M, \mathcal{F})$. Thus $\{(\phi'_s)^{-1} \circ \phi_s\}_{s \in [0,1]}$ gives an isotopy

such that $(\phi'_0)^{-1} \circ \phi_0 = \text{id}$, $(\phi'_1)^{-1} \circ \phi_1 = f_3$ and $(\phi'_s)^{-1} \circ \phi_s$ is an element of $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$. We put $\psi_s = (\phi'_s)^{-1} \circ \phi_s$. We put $Y_s = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=s} \psi_t$. Since ψ_s is an element of $\text{Diff}_0(M, \mathcal{F})$, this Y_s is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ for each s . Hence we have

$$(93) \quad \iota_{Y_s} d\eta = 0.$$

By (93), we have

$$(94) \quad \begin{aligned} f_3^* \eta - \eta &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (\psi_t^* \eta) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \psi_t^* (\mathcal{L}_{Y_s} \eta) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \psi_t^* d(\eta(Y_s)) dt \\ &= d \left(\int_0^1 \psi_t^* (\eta(Y_s)) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting $h_3 = \int_0^1 \psi_t^* (\eta(Y_s)) dt$, we have

$$(95) \quad f_3^* \eta - \eta = dh_3.$$

By (85), (87), (92) and (95), we have

$$(96) \quad \beta_2 - \beta_1 = dh_3.$$

Since the right hand side is a harmonic form, we have $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. $\square$

Theorem 1.6 follows from Propositions 7.3 and 7.4. At last, we remark that we obtain the following result as a consequence of Proposition 7.3:

Proposition 7.5. *Let η_1 and η_2 be two K -contact forms on a closed manifold M . If $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and the orbits foliations of Reeb vector fields of η_1 and η_2 are equal, then there exists a real number r and a diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$ such that $f^* \alpha_2 = \alpha_1$.*

8. EXAMPLES

8.1. Standard Sasakian metrics on spheres. We recall the standard Sasakian metric on S^{2n-1} . Consider a function r on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by

$$(97) \quad r(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 + y_n^2}$$

where $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ is the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Let S^{2n-1} be a unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by $r = 1$. Let $g_{\text{std}} = \sum_{i=1}^n (dx_i \otimes dx_i + dy_i \otimes dy_i)$ be the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Define a 1-form $\eta_{\text{std}} = \frac{1}{2r(x_1, \dots, y_n)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} - \{0\}$. Then $(S^{2n-1}, g_{\text{std}}|_{S^{2n-1}}, \eta_{\text{std}}|_{S^{2n-1}})$ is a Sasakian manifold. The flow generated by the Reeb vector field of $\eta_{\text{std}}|_{S^{2n-1}}$ is given by the principal S^1 -action whose orbits are tangent to the fiber of Hopf fibration. The base space of the Hopf fibration is $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$.

Indeed, $\mathbb{R}^{2n} - \{0\} = S^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a metric cone of S^{2n-1} where the coordinate on the second component is given by a function r . The Kähler metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} - \{0\}$ is given by g_{std} and the standard Kähler form $\omega_{\text{std}} = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$.

To describe the deformation of transversely holomorphic flows, we use the result of Girbau, Haefliger and Sundararaman (Proposition 6.1 in [16]). They showed that the Kuranishi space of the deformation of the transversely holomorphic flow defined by fibers of a circle bundle over a complex manifold X is identified with an

open neighborhood of 0 in $H^0(X, T^{1,0}X)$, the space of holomorphic vector fields on X , if X satisfies

$$(98) \quad H^{1,0}(X) = 0$$

and

$$(99) \quad H^1(X, T^{1,0}X) = 0.$$

The complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ satisfies the conditions (98) and (99). Hence the Kuranishi space of deformation as a transversely holomorphic flow is identified with an open neighborhood of 0 in $H^0(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}, T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$, which is of complex dimension $n^2 - 1$. Among them, infinitesimal deformation of transversely holomorphic Riemannian flows formed a union of subspaces as real vector spaces of real dimension $n - 1$.

In this example, we can apply Corollary 1.4, because $H^{0,2}(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) = 0$. Hence, the Sasakian metric $(g_{\text{std}}, \eta_{\text{std}})$ is stable. By the simply connectedness of S^{2n-1} , we can apply also Corollary 1.7. Thus, the space of isomorphism classes of Sasakian metrics are identified with the isomorphism classes of the underlying transversely Kähler flows.

For description of universal families of deformation of the Hopf fibration as a transversely holomorphic flow, see Haefliger [19] and Duchamp and Kalka [11].

We can apply Corollaries 1.4 and 1.7 to the circle bundles associated to positive line bundles over Fano manifolds X which satisfies the condition (99) in a similar way.

8.2. Circle bundles over complex tori. We present an example of a family of transversely Kähler flows in which the stability of Sasakian metrics does not hold.

Let X be an projective complex torus with a positive holomorphic line bundle E . We fix a Hermitian metric on E so that its curvature form is positive. Let M be the unit circle bundle of E . Then M has a Sasakian metric whose underlying transversely Kähler flow is defined by the fibers of the circle bundle.

It is well known that there exists a smooth family of complex tori $\{X^t\}_{t \in]-1, 1[}$ and a dense subset K in $] - 1, 1[$ such that $X^0 = X$ and X^t is not projective for every t in K .

We denote the total space of the family of complex tori by Υ . We fix a trivialization $\phi: \Upsilon \cong X \times] - 1, 1[$ as a smooth fiber bundle over $] - 1, 1[$. We pull back the complex Hermitian line bundle E on X to Υ by $\phi \circ \text{pr}_1$ where $\text{pr}_1: X \times] - 1, 1[\rightarrow X$ is the first projection. We define M^t as the unit circle bundle associated to the complex line bundle $(\phi^* \text{pr}_1^* E)|_{X^t} \rightarrow X^t$. Let $\mathcal{F}^t$ be a flow on M^t defined by the fibers of the circle bundle $M^t \rightarrow X^t$. Kodaira's stability theorem implies the existence of Kähler metrics on X^t for t sufficiently close to 0. Since the leaf space X^t is Kähler, $\mathcal{F}^t$ is a transversely Kähler flow for t sufficiently close to 0.

There exists a compatible Sasakian metric on M^0 by definition. But, for t in K , M^t does not have any compatible Sasakian metric. Indeed, if M^t has a compatible Sasakian metric, then X^t must be projective by the theorem of Hatakeyama [20]. This is contradiction.

In this example, the basic Euler class of $\mathcal{F}^t$ is the Euler class of circle bundles $M^t \rightarrow X^t$ and can be considered as an element of $H^2(X^t; \mathbb{Z})$. Clearly this class is of topological nature and independent of t . On the other hand, the Hodge decomposition $H^2(X^t; \mathbb{C}) \cong H^{2,0}(X^t) \oplus H^{1,1}(X^t) \oplus H^{0,2}(X^t)$ changes when t varies.

REFERENCES

- [1] Álvarez López, J. A.: The basic component of the mean curvature of Riemannian foliations, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **10**(2), 179–194 (1992)
- [2] Baily, W. L.: On the imbedding of V -manifolds in projective space. *Amer. J. Math.* **79**, 403–430 (1957)
- [3] Blair, D.: *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Progr. Math 203, Birkhäuser (2002)
- [4] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K.: Sasakian geometry, hypersurface singularities, and Einstein metrics, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **75**(2), 57–87 (2005)
- [5] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K.: *Sasakian Geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (2007)
- [6] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K., Nakamaye, M.: On Positive Sasakian Geometry, *Geom. Dedicata*, **101**(1), 93–102 (2003)
- [7] Candel, A., Conlon, L.: *Foliations. I. Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [8] Carrière, Y.: Flots riemanniens, Transversal structure of foliations (Toulouse, 1982), *Astérisque* **116**, 31–52 (1984)
- [9] Demailly, J. P.: Transcendental proof of a generalized Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. Geometrical and algebraical aspects in several complex variables (Cetraro, 1989), 81–94, *Sem. Conf.*, 8, EditEl, Rende, (1991)
- [10] Demailly, J. P.: Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry. *School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry* (Trieste, 2000), 1–148, *ICTP Lect. Notes*, 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, (2001)
- [11] Duchamp, T., Kalka, M.: Holomorphic foliations and deformations of the Hopf foliation, *Pacific J. Math.* **112**(1), 69–81 (1984)
- [12] El Kacimi Alaoui, A.: Opérateurs transversalement elliptiques sur un feuilletage riemannien et applications, *Compo. Math.* **73**(1) 57–106 (1990)
- [13] El Kacimi Alaoui, A., Hector, G.: Décomposition de Hodge basique pour un feuilletage riemannien, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **36**(3), 207–227 (1986)
- [14] Futaki, A., Ono, H., Wang, G.: Transverse Kähler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **83**(3), 585–636 (2009)
- [15] Girbau, J.: Sur le théorème de Le Potier d’annulation de la cohomologie. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **283**(6), 355–358 (1976)
- [16] Girbau, J., Haefliger, A., Sundararaman, D.: On deformations of transversely holomorphic foliations, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **345**, 122–147 (1983)
- [17] Gómez Mont, X.: Transversal holomorphic structures. *J. Differential Geom.* **15**(2), 161–185 (1980)
- [18] Grauert, H., Riemenschneider, O.: *Kählersche Mannigfaltigkeiten mit hyper- q -konvexem Rand.* (German) Problems in analysis, Lectures Sympos. in honor of Salomon Bochner, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1969, 61–79. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., (1970)
- [19] Haefliger, A.: Deformations of transversely holomorphic flows on spheres and deformations of Hopf manifolds, *Compo. Math.* **55**(2), 241–251 (1985)
- [20] Hatakeyama Y., Some notes on differentiable manifolds with almost contact structures, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **15**, 176–181 (1963)
- [21] Hector, G., Macias, E., Saralegi, M.: Lemme de Moser feuilleté et classification des variétés de Poisson régulières. *Publ. Mat.* **33**(3), 423–430 (1989)
- [22] Huybrechts, D.: *Complex geometry. An introduction.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2005)
- [23] Kamber F., Tondeur, P.: Duality for Riemannian foliations, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), 609–618, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1983)
- [24] Kodaira, K., Spencer, D. C.: On deformations of complex analytic structures. III. Stability theorems for complex structures, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **71**, 43–76 (1960)
- [25] Masa, X.: Duality and minimality in Riemannian foliations, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **67**, 17–27 (1992)
- [26] Min-Oo, M., Ruh, E. A., Tondeur, P.: Vanishing theorems for the basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **415** 167–174 (1991)

- [27] Molino, P., Sergiescu, V.: Deux remarques sur les flots riemanniens, *Manuscripta Math.* **51**, 145–161 (1985)
- [28] Nozawa, H.: Rigidity of the Álvarez class, *Manuscripta Math.* **132**(1), 257–270 (2010)
- [29] Nozawa, H.: On continuity and invariance of the Álvarez class under deformation, Preprint (2010)
- [30] Royo Prieto, J. I.: The Euler class for Riemannian flows, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.* Volume **332**(1), 45–50 (2001)
- [31] Rummier, H.: Quelques notions simples en géométrie riemannienne et leurs applications aux feuilletages compacts, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **54**, 224–239 (1979)
- [32] Saralegui, M.: The Euler class for flows of isometries. *Differential geometry* (Santiago de Compostela, 1984), 220–227, *Res. Notes in Math.*, 131, Pitman, Boston, MA, (1985)
- [33] Voisin, C.: *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I.* Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 76. (2002)
- [34] Wells, R. O.: *Differential analysis on complex manifolds.* Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., (1973)

UNITÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES PURES ET APPLIQUÉES, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON,
46 ALLÉE D'ITALIE 69364 LYON CEDEX 07, FRANCE

E-mail address: nozawahiraku@06.alumni.u-tokyo.ac.jp